

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I do not think that the plan or the consultation for the plan has been the subject of equitable consultation. I can see no evidence of how the consultation in the Tameside area where I live has been published for people who cannot access a visual, online consultation. It is ONLY online which excludes all kinds of people. Therefore I do not think that the spirit of the Equality Act has been followed.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<p>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</p> <p>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</p> <p>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</p> <p>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p> <p>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</p>
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,	The Tameside plan will result in legal requirements in respect of air pollution to be breached. The public health of the population of Godley, Hattersley and Gee Cross will be severely compromised by the addition of 23000 homes in a relatively small space with only two A roads near by. Huge increase in traffic. The impact on the environment will be devastating and noise pollution

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	will increase. Conflicts with GM aims to be reduce carbon emmissions. Residents use the Green Belt to walk, excercise and relax. All of this will be lost which counters public health prevention and a healthy built environment. The local area does not have infrastructure to support these proposals for Godley Green in terms of General Practices, schools etc, social services.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Reduction in the number of houses to be built on Godley Green to reduce impact on existing local and future populations in terms of noise, air pollution, removal of natural habitat, carbon emissions.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 4 Resilience
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I specifically oppose the Godley Green Development because of the sheer number of houses that this plan proposes to be developed there, which is devastating for the communities of Godley, Gee Cross and Hattersley who will lose all of the character of the area, specifically with regard to farms and horse riding businesses/stables. The character of the area will be completely destroyed.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Godley Green and its environs already offer a multi-functional green space, urban and rural, which is capable of delivering a wide range of environmental and quality of life benefits for local communities. By building 23000 homes in a small area the green space will disappear. It seems dishonest to suggest otherwise.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	At Godley Green it is not possible to replace this Green Belt land, with its low wetlands, ponds and mosslands with 23,000 houses and retain the ecology of this area. Dishonest.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 5 Uplands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Significant reduction in the number of houses being built on a single green belt site. The character of this area, habitat, green space and history of this area will be destroyed.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Significant reduction in the number of houses being built on a single green belt site. The character of this area, habitat, green space and history of this area will be destroyed. There is no way that this development will allow the trees and woodland on this site to be undisturbed.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you	Significant reduction in the number of houses being built on a single green belt site. The character of this area, habitat, green space and history of this area will be destroyed.

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The Godley Green proposal will remove the greenbelt land from this area, which is a precious resource to the people and animals of this area.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Reduction of number of houses and associated development associated with the Godley Green development or preferably, the use of non green belt sites.
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Absolutely destroying a valued landscape, area of greenbelt, farms, stables, footpaths with lots of houses and roads, which are in a confined area cannot possibly fulfill this objective. Furthermore the impact on air quality will be devastating and does not consider the roads serving the site and current congestion. Furthermore the social infrastructure that will be needed to in place to ensure healthy, happy and sustainable living environment such as health centres, GPs, schools, places of worship, shops (some of which should be independent) are not in place even now - local GP surgeries cannot meet demand.</p> <p>Whilst I reluctantly accept that some housebuilding in this area is needed, I cannot accept that almost 23000 new houses in an area of natural beauty, precious eco-systems, farms, footpaths and stables can be fair or morally right- or maintain the heritage of the area. To suggest so is dishonest. I therefore feel that the number of houses for Godley Green must be radically reduced, OR stopped so that greenbelt and other sites are used. Its the easy solution to just take a beautiful area of greenbelt and to build on it. This is not creative, sustainable or "green".</p>
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P2 Heritage
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I can see no evidence of how the Godley Green Village development will preserve the heritage of Godley Green which has been a rural area with farms and horse stables in particular for hundreds of years. It has been confirmed already that the proposals will result in the destructions of farms and stables.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Whilst I reluctantly accept that some housebuilding in this area is needed, I cannot accept that almost 23000 new houses in an area of natural beauty, precious eco-systems, farms, footpaths and stables can be fair or morally right- or maintain the heritage of the area. To suggest so is dishonest. I therefore feel that the number of houses for Godley Green must be radically reduced, OR stopped so that greenbelt and other sites are used. Its the easy solution to just take a beautiful area of greenbelt and to build on it. This is not creative, sustainable or "green".
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P3 Cultural Facilities
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P4 New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant	Tameside council has no track record of encouraging local, independent or sustainable shopping. Their policies and planning permissions have destroyed the town centres of Ashton-Under-Lyne and Hyde. They have approved out of town shopping centres and allowed large, chain businesses to dominate the shopping landscape. I am extremely fearful that the Godley Green housing development will host yet more. The shopping centres of Tameside are not

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>squeezed in any way. They are desolate because of car parking charges and lack of creativity which has driven residents to better shops elsewhere. I just cannot see how the Godley Green development can support this objective when it is, by definition, taking housing and businesses into the countryside.</p> <p>Our town centres are at the heart of our communities, but they are facing serious challenges. The growth of internet shopping and large out-of-town retailers has squeezed many town centres. We must help them adapt to changing markets, and build on their strengths.</p>
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>I cannot see the link between building 23000 on green belt land and improving education and training for residents. Tameside is well served already with education and training opportunities (as well as leisure facilities). No further development is needed. I agree that good jobs are needed, however, in respect of the Godley Green development (my area of concern and insight) I am concerned that turning the area into an area with businesses will worsen the destruction of the green belt site further.</p>
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P6 Health
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Godley Green proposal goes against every single principle of Public Health. We know that green spaces are important for physical and mental health and wellbeing and the health of existing residents will be sacrificed should this development go ahead. Furthermore the proposed housing density will not allow a green environment to be retained. It is unfair that the whole of the housing burden for this area will be placed upon one community. Many residents use this area for walking, running, cycling, and horse riding. This will be immediately lost. The plans suggest that laid paths would provide this opportunities, which clearly is not the case and the National Park movement (for example) shows that the natural environment and not a manicured environment is important for health, One of my biggest concerns is air quality, speeding traffic, increased traffic, road safety will all be compromised as the A57 (a residential road) and the A560 will become the two roads bringing thousands of cars into the area. The number of residents that would live on Godley Green, should this development go ahead is akin to a "new town" and cannot be consistent with the good health of those residents or those who live on and near the site now or who use it. This is a valuable green space for all of Hyde's residents and I know that many other residents of Tameside come to walk on Godley Green and Werneth Low because there are no such open spaces where they live.</p> <p>The formal health infrastructure of the area is already inadequate. There is already one local overloaded GP practice at Hattersley with no suggestion that they can take any more patients and no possibility of taking on more GPs to serve this new community as there are already shortages of GPs.</p> <p>Tameside has one of the highest rates of preventable disease in Greater Manchester. What the area needs is better education and health services. The Hattersley estate shows what happens to Public Health when thousands of houses are built without a health infrastructure and an understanding of the pillars of Public Health and what is needed for holistic health and wellbeing - which includes a green, unpolluted environment.</p>
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JP-P7 Sport and Recreation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to	I think that the plan assumes that built sports and recreation facilities are best. Tameside has an excellent sport and recreation offer from Tameside Active nothing more is needed. What IS needed is retention of the green space which allows outdoor activities such as walking, running, dog walking,

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	cycling and horse riding for example, thereby allowing people to have a link to the natural environment and the health benefits mentally and physically of being outside - e.g. vitamin D generation, impact of light on serotonin levels, endless research about the impact of green space on mental health. Filling the area with houses and traffic is in direct conflict (and the cars won't all be electric if people can't afford them)
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I can see no evidence of how the Godley Green Village development will preserve the heritage of Godley Green which has been a rural area with farms and horse stables in particular for hundreds of years. It has been confirmed already that the proposals will result in the destructions of farms and stables.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Whilst I reluctantly accept that some housebuilding in this area is needed, I cannot accept that almost 23000 new houses in an area of natural beauty, precious eco-systems, farms, footpaths and stables can be fair or morally right- or maintain the heritage of the area. To suggest so is dishonest. I therefore feel that the number of houses for Godley Green must be radically reduced, OR stopped so that greenbelt and other sites are used. Its the easy solution to just take a beautiful area of greenbelt and to build on it. This is not creative, sustainable or "green".
Family Name	Jerram
Given Name	Julie
Person ID	1287154
Title	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this	Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram Tameside GBA41 Ashworth Lane, Mottram

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes